Findings and Recommendations



## **Study of Multi-Agency Data (2005-2015)** Youth Receiving Community-Based Programs and Services

#### Dr. Danielle T. Cooper

Director of Research, Tow Youth Justice Institute | dcooper@newhaven.edu



# Purpose of Study:

- To address the legislative mandate pursuant to Public Acts 14-217 and 07-04.
- The data collected focuses on delinquent youth under non-detention forms of supervision:
  - Juvenile probation
  - Receiving community-based services
  - Never received outof-home placement via DCF
- Review of most commonly used services, eligibility into programming, program outcomes, etc.

## Key Findings Program Attendance/Completion

Among Top 8 interventions 76.1% of referred youth received services 78.6% recorded completing services

Group interventions in the Top 8 44.6% of referred youth received services 84% completed services

Home interventions in the Top 8 31.5% received services 70.8% completed services

## **Youth Receiving Services**

#### Most commonly

- 15 year old White males\*
- Medium risk
- Judicially handled

#### These youth also:

- Typically resided and offended in the same region of CT
- Had an average length of supervision of approximately 181-184 days
- Had NO rearrests for any offenses within 12 months of completion of services.

\*Proportions of Hispanic youth in sample was reduced; proportions of Black youth increased.







## **Key Findings- Practitioner Feedback/Recommendations**

## Addressing Youths' Needs

- Expressed need to work with youths' probation officers, service providers, and families.
- Include use of JAG, clinical assessments, trauma screening, and school records.

## **Program Eligibility versus Program Selection**

- Assigned programs are selected based on their ability to address the youth's criminogenic needs.
- Probation officers typically identify appropriate services for their clients.

### **General Issues and Concerns**

- Use of data collected and its impact on services.
- Definitions for successful completion of programs.
- Awareness of impact on youth.
- Waitlist for services.



## **Advice for Improving Juvenile Supervision**

- Increase inter-agency data sharing. Increase family involvement. Increase use of flex funds.
- Increased opportunities for cultural competency training for staff.
- Follow-up information provided for youth served (i.e. what happens to the youth).

